



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
JEFFREY SPENCER and  
CHRISTY EVEREKLIAN,  
  
Defendants.

CR No. 2:25-cr-00545-AB

I N F O R M A T I O N

[18 U.S.C. § 371: Conspiracy to  
Defraud the United States]

The United States Attorney charges:

[18 U.S.C. § 371]

A. INTRODUCTORY ALLEGATIONS

1. At times relevant to this Information:

a. The Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI") is the principal investigative arm of the Department of Justice. The FBI procures electronic equipment throughout the United States and elsewhere, including Los Angeles. The FBI solicits competitive bids from electronic equipment suppliers, as required under the Federal Acquisition Regulation.

b. Defendant JEFFREY SPENCER resided in Santa Clarita, California, and was the lead electronics technician for the Los

1 Angeles Field Office of the FBI. Defendant SPENCER completed several  
2 trainings as an FBI procurement officer, including trainings related  
3 to conflicts of interest, procurement requirements, and competitive  
4 bid requirements.

5 c. Defendant CHRISTY EVEREKLIAN resided in Temecula,  
6 California, and was defendant SPENCER's sister. Defendant EVEREKLIAN  
7 was an owner of Maximum Media 37 LLC, a company in Carlsbad,  
8 California; Platinum Digital Media Corp., a company in Temecula,  
9 California; and San Diego Repair Center, a company with locations in  
10 Carlsbad, California, and San Diego, California (collectively, "the  
11 EVEREKLIAN companies"). The EVEREKLIAN companies sold electronic  
12 equipment.

13 d. Defendant EVEREKLIAN was the signatory for Maximum  
14 Media 37 LLC and Platinum Digital Media Corp. in the United States  
15 General Services Administration's System for Award Management  
16 ("SAM"), which requires vendors to annually register and provide  
17 information about their procurement and financial transactions to  
18 federal agencies.

19 B. CONSPIRACY TO DEFRAUD THE UNITED STATES

20 2. Beginning no later than in or about August 2015, and  
21 continuing through at least August 2020, in Los Angeles and Riverside  
22 Counties, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere,  
23 defendants SPENCER and EVEREKLIAN, utilizing the EVEREKLIAN  
24 companies, knowingly and willfully conspired with one another, and  
25 with others known and unknown to the United States Attorney, to  
26 defraud the United States and agencies thereof, namely, the FBI, by  
27 impeding, impairing, obstructing, and defeating the lawful government  
28

1 functions of the FBI with respect to solicitation of competitive bids  
2 for electronic equipment by deceitful and dishonest means.

3 C. MANNER AND MEANS OF THE CONSPIRACY

4 3. The object of the conspiracy was carried out, and was to be  
5 carried out, in substance, as follows:

6 a. Defendant SPENCER would solicit bids for electronic  
7 equipment on behalf of the FBI from the EVEREKLIAN companies, despite  
8 knowing that his sister, defendant EVEREKLIAN, had a financial  
9 interest in those companies.

10 b. Defendant SPENCER never obtained a written conflict-  
11 of-interest waiver relating to his solicitation of bids from  
12 companies owned or partially owned by defendant EVEREKLIAN.

13 c. Defendants SPENCER and EVEREKLIAN would create and  
14 cause to be created bids for electronic equipment from the EVEREKLIAN  
15 companies to submit to the FBI.

16 d. Defendants SPENCER and EVEREKLIAN would then submit or  
17 caused to be submitted those bids to the FBI in order to obtain  
18 electronic equipment contracts offered by the FBI in Los Angeles  
19 County in the Central District of California, and elsewhere.

20 e. Defendants SPENCER and EVEREKLIAN would submit or  
21 caused to be submitted bids as allegedly independent and competitive  
22 bids when, in fact, defendants SPENCER and EVEREKLIAN had already  
23 designated which company would win the selected electronic equipment  
24 contract from the FBI.

25 D. OVERT ACTS

26 4. On or about the following dates, in furtherance of the  
27 conspiracy and to accomplish its object, defendants SPENCER and  
28 EVEREKLIAN, and others known and unknown to the United States

1 Attorney, committed the following overt acts, among others, in the  
2 Central District of California and elsewhere:

3 Overt Act No. 1: On August 25, 2020, in response to a request  
4 for a bid from defendant SPENCER for electronic equipment, defendant  
5 EVEREKLIAN emailed a bid from Maximum Media 37 LLC to the FBI for  
6 approximately \$39,999.99. In the emailed bid, defendant EVEREKLIAN  
7 wrote that the bid was submitted by a relative, M.E., when, in fact,  
8 defendant EVEREKLIAN knew that the bid was submitted by defendant  
9 EVEREKLIAN.

10 Overt Act No. 2: On August 26, 2020, in response to the same  
11 request for a bid from defendant SPENCER, defendant EVEREKLIAN  
12 emailed a bid from Platinum Digital Media Corp. to the FBI for  
13 \$42,238.74.

14 Overt Act No. 3: On August 26, 2020, in response to the same  
15 request for a bid from defendant SPENCER, defendant EVEREKLIAN  
16 emailed a bid from San Diego Repair Center to the FBI for  
17 \$43,702.32.

18  
19 BILAL A. ESSAYLI  
20 United States Attorney

21 

22 CHRISTINA T. SHAY  
23 Assistant United States Attorney  
24 Chief, Criminal Division

25 FRANCES S. LEWIS  
26 Assistant United States Attorney  
27 Chief, General Crimes Section

28 JASON C. PANG  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Deputy Chief, General Crimes  
Section